I hereby certify that this correspondence and all

marked attachments are being depusited with the United States Patent Office via fax to (703)

March 26, 2003

Ernest A. Beutler

Reg. No. 19901

872-0319 on:

Person J.WL 6 4-4-03

## SIMTEK5694

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Shiro, Adaeda

Tatsuya, Anma

Hidcaki, Takahashi

App. No.:

09/778338

Filed:

February 7, 2001

Conf. No.:

1468

Title:

MULTIPOLAR MAGNET TYPE

GENERATOR FOR INTERNAL

COMBUSTION ENGINES

Examiner:

D. Le

Art Unit:

2834

**FAX RECEIVED** 

MAR 2 6 2003

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C.

PETITION UNDER 37CFR1.181(a)(3)

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800** 

Dear Sir:

Applicants hereby petition the Commissioner to exercise his supervisory authority under 37CFR1.181(a)(3) and direct the Examiner to either amend his Examiner's Answer, Mailed March 12, 2003 or to reopen prosecution in this case, which is under appeal.

For the first time, in his Answer, the Examiner cites considerable art, not of record, and makes allegations about prior knowledge, not previously made of record. This material appears beginning in the first full paragraph on page 11 of the Answer and continues to the paragraph ending at the top of page 13. The Examiner further refers on Page 12 to "attached copies". None of this new material has been furnished to applicants.

Furthermore it would now cast a doubt on the validity of any patent issuing on this case if this material were left in the record and ignored by the Board. This is without admitting that it is material. Applicants can not determine that, since no copies have been supplied.

It is unjust at the least to permit the Examiner to lie in the weeds, and then spring new art on the applicants, after they have diligently prosecuted the case believing that the Examiner has cited the most pertinent art during the prosecution.

Applicants have other issues with the Examiner's Answer, but these pale in relation to the gross action of the Examiner, apparently approved in the Appeal Conference.

It is not believed that a fee is due in connection with this petition.

App. No.:

09/77833

Filed:

February 7, 2001

Page 2 of 2

Also it is requested that the time for applicants response be stayed until a proper Answer is filed or, more preferably, prosecution is reopened.

Respectfully submitted:

Ernest A. Beutler Reg. No. 19901

> Phone (949) 717 4821 Pacific Time

**FAX RECEIVED** 

MAR 2 6 2003

**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800**